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ABSTRACT

The Head Gimbal Assembly (HGA) is an essential hard disk drive (HDD) component allowing data to be read and written to the media. Defects on the HGA may affect the data read/write process and reduce the quality of the HDD. Therefore, HGA inspection needs to be improved during HDD manufacturing. This paper describes an image processing method that automate the optical inspection of HGA solder jet ball joint defects. Vertical edge detection methods are proposed for identifying defects. The performance of the vertical edge detection method is compared to a Sobel-based method, Roberts’ method and a Prewitt’s method. The methods were tested with 18,123 HGA images. The experimental results show that the vertical edge detection method outperforms the other methods, which had an accuracy of 99.3%, as compared to the Sobel based method, with an accuracy of 80% and 78.2 for Roberts’ method and 65.9 for Prewitt’s method.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The Head Gimbal Assembly (HGA) is an important part of the read/write assembly in a hard disk drive (HDD). Technological hard disk advancements have resulted in units with very small form factors. Therefore, increasing attention has been focused on the quality inspection of small components. The HGA consists of a slider and a suspension mechanism. A solder jet bond (SJB) machine is used in HGA production to attach the slider to the suspension mechanism. During this process mistakes sometimes occur causing defects. Currently, the HGAs are manually inspected. Manual inspection may lead to weariness and eye strain, especially with a large number of units. Moreover, manual inspection is time-consuming and may cause production delays, it is expensive and may reduce customer confidence. The goal is therefore to develop an automatic inspection system to reduce the chance of errors, reduce costs and improve the productivity.

Fig.1: Sample HGA Images; (a), (b), and (c) show non-defective HGAs, (d), (e), and (f) show defective HGAs.

This study focused on the most significant defect that can occur in the solder joint between the slider and the HGA suspension mechanism, namely when the solder balls or pads become burnt (see Fig. 1). Characteristics of defects caused by soldering include uneven pad edges or black limbs on pad borders. Other HGA defects including solder ball bridging and incomplete solder joints on both sides of the slider and suspension have previously been studied using morphological template matching [1-2]. However, the defects caused by solder ball burning cannot be detected by morphological template matching or other methods that rely on the general shape features of the burnt area such as area size, perimeter, or center of mass.

This paper proposes a new vertical edge detection algorithm that more effectively identify HGA defects caused by burnt solders and pads.
2. PREVIOUS WORK

Several experimental studies have addressed hard disk drive defects inspection including detection of defects on the HDD media surface using spectral imaging [3-4]. Kunakornvung et al. [5] detected the presence of contamination on the Air Bearing Surface (ABS) of the slider using texture characteristics. Withayachumnankul et al. [6] designed a filter kernel to detect the edge of hairline crack defects on the surface of piezoelectric actuators. Yammen et al. [7] detected corrosion on the pole tips at the end of an air-bearing slider, using area-based and contour-based features. Mak et al. [8], proposed a Bayesian approach for solder joint defects addressing solder bridging, burnt solder balls, non-wet, dry joints and cases with no connections between the slider and suspension. This paper, however, focuses on burnt solder ball defects, which is the most significant unresolved problem.

Edge detection is an essential component of many image analysis systems [9-10]. The Sobel edge detection operator is typically used for object segmentation, see for instance Zhang et al. [11] and Fan et al. [12]. The Sobel operator detects horizontal and vertical edges separately, and some application domains take advantage of this, such as license plate detection [13-14]. Prewitt and Roberts [15] are traditional edge detectors, which work by calculating the horizontal and vertical image gradients. Al-Ghaili et al. [16-17] presented a fast vertical edge detection algorithm, which concentrates on the intersections of the black-white regions and the white-black regions.

This work extend Al-Ahaili et al.’s vertical edge detection and applies the algorithm to the problem of burnt pad detection. The experimental results show that the proposed method achieves an accuracy of 99.3% with a low false detection rate of 0.6% and a false negative rate of 0.2%.

3. BURNT SOLDER BALL DETECTION

This study uses edge detection and a circular Hough transform to identify burnt pad defects. In the HGA production line, the SJB machine first connects the suspension circuit to the slider body. Then, the HGAs are placed on pallets and transferred to a Visual Inspection and OCR Reading (VOR) machine. A COGNEX software module is used by the VOR for automatic vision processing. HGA images are first captured before these images are processed to detect HGA defects. The results are sent to the module controller. If the COGNEX subsystem detects faults the unit is manually inspected. The COGNEX system yield a very high false positive rate and consequently a large amount of units need the time-consuming, costly and error-prone manual intervention.

This research focuses on the defects of the solder joints between the slider and suspension. To detect burnt pad defects, the top view of HGA images is used. The top view shows the small burnt pads more clearly than other views.

3.1 Sobel-Based Method

This section presents the algorithm for solder ball and pad burning detection based on Sobel edge detection. The image processing techniques and the Sobel vertical edge detector are adopted in order to identify the defects in the input images. The overall algorithm procedure is given in Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 1: Sobel-based method

Input: HGA Image

1. Pre-Processing

   Segment the ROI from the Original HGA Image

   Make a binary sub-image from the blue channel intensity.

   Fill holes in each pad located in the binary sub-image.

2. Vertical edge analysis

   Apply Sobel to detect the vertical edges.

   Remove the vertical edge of the solder tail.

   Identify solder burns.

3. Make decision

   If (summation of edge pixel > decision value)
   Result = defect found.
   Else
   Result = non-defective.

End If

First, region of Interest (ROI) segmentation is performed using cross correlation [7, 18] between the original 2400 × 2000 pixel test image \( f[m, n] \) and the 45 × 420 pixel template image \( \{ w[m, n] \} \). The template image only contains the solder joint region, and is manually extracted from a perfect HGA image chosen manually by an inspector. The cross-correlation function is obtained as

\[
rfw[m, n] = \sum_{s=0}^{44} \sum_{t=0}^{420} f[s, t]w[m+s, n+t] \quad (1)
\]

where, \( m \) and \( n \) are the coordinates \( m \in 0, 1, \ldots, 2400 \), \( n \in 0, 1, \ldots, 2000 \). By finding the maximum value of the cross-correlation function, the best matching image can be obtained. That is, \( rfw[m_0, n_0] = \max_{m, n} rfw[m, n] \), where the coordinate \( m_0, n_0 \) gives the maximum value. This coordinate is used to generate the output solder joint image, \( \{ f[m_0 + m, n_0 + n] \} \). The RGB color HGA image is the input image. The blue channel is chosen as basis for the binary image since it shows the difference between the solder balls and the gap region more clearly than the red and green color channels. Figs. 2 and 3 show that the blue channel histogram has a wider distribution than the red and green channels. The optimum hardness threshold is then defined and the blue channel is transformed into a binary image. The binary sub-image may contain solder balls with holes.
inside their boundary. Morphological reconstruction is therefore used to fill these holes [19].

Fig. 2: Red, green, and blue intensity of a ROI image.

Fig. 3: Histogram of each channel (a) red channel, (b) green channel, and (c) blue channel.

A Sobel edge detector [9-14] is used to analyze the vertical edges of the solder balls. As some of the solder tails may appear in the binary sub-image the vertical edge of the solder balls is checked and removed using a threshold value. If the size of the detected vertical edge is larger than a pre-defined threshold, the algorithm assumes that the vertical edge is the edge of the solder tail, and not the burnt area. The detected vertical edge is therefore removed. After removing the solder tail vertical edge, the binary sub-image only contains the vertical edge of the burnt solder balls, as well as the small burnt objects in the gap between the solder balls.

Finally, the algorithm decides whether the test image is defective or not. After analyzing the vertical edge, the white pixels in the binary sub-image correspond to the vertical edge of the solder balls that are burnt and some small burnt objects. To make a decision, a decision value is generated by the summation of all the pixels of the binary sub-image resulting from the previous step. If the decision value is greater than this threshold value, the test image is a defective image; otherwise, the test image is a non-defective image. Fig. 4 shows the result of each step, Fig. 4 (a) shows the result of a non-defective image, and Fig. 4 (b) shows the result of a defective image containing the solder ball or pad defect. Fig. 5 shows the result and samples of the output image. Fig. 5 (a)-(b) represent non-defective test images and Fig. 5. (c)-(d) represent defective test images. The images are rotated for presentation purposes.

False detections occurred due to the reflections that appeared on the pads. These reflections were detected as edges by the Sobel operator and resulted in incorrect decisions. The image capturing process
may illuminate each local area differently, e.g. the right side may be darker than the left side. The optimum hardness threshold is unable to separate the pad from the background under such lighting conditions (see Fig. 6).

3.2 The Proposed Method

The reflections cause unwanted vertical edges when using the Sobel-based method which again leads to false detections. The errors caused by the unwanted vertical edges was reduced by determining the reflection area on each pad and then removing the vertical edge in each of these areas. For cases where a burn covers the entire pads and the vertical edge cannot be detected, an area-based feature is used. The procedure of the overall algorithm is shown in Fig. 7.

The algorithm begins with an ROI segmentation; the solder joint area is the region of interest and is extracted by using cross correlation [7, 18]. The 2400 x 2000 pixel input HGA image is correlated with the 45 x 420 pixel template image. The point giving the highest correspondence between the input image and the template image is the reference point. From the reference point, the 45 x 420 pixel region of interest is extracted as a sub image.

The light reflections appear as white circular objects within the pad. To detect these reflection areas, the circular Hough transform [21] is applied, where circles are represented using:

\[ r^2 = (x - a)^2 + (y - b)^2 \]  

Here, \( a \) and \( b \) represent the coordinates for the circle center, and \( r \) denote the radius of the circle. The parametric representation of this circle is:

\[ x = a + r \cos(\theta) \]  
\[ y = b + r \sin(\theta) \]

When the angle \( \theta \) sweeps through a full 360 degrees circle, the points \( (x, y) \) trace the circle perimeter. If an image contains many points, some of which fall on the perimeters of circles, the objective is to find parameter triplets \((a, b, R)\) to describe each circle. The locus of \((a, b)\) points in the parameter space fall on a circle of radius \( R \) centered at \((x, y)\). The true center point will be common to all parameter circles, and can be found with a Hough accumulation array [21]. Fig. 8 shows an example of using the circular Hough
Before applying vertical edge detection, the solder image is transformed into a binary sub-image using Otsu’s method [20]. An optimal threshold is selected by the discriminate criterion to maximize the reparability of the resulting gray level classes. The procedure utilizes only the zeroth and the first order cumulative moments of the gray level histogram. Otsu’s method exhaustively searches for the threshold that minimizes the within-class variance, defined as a weighted sum of variances,

$$\sigma^2_{\text{within}}(t) = \omega_1(t)\sigma^2_1(t) + \omega_2(t)\sigma^2_2(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The weights $\omega_i$ are the probabilities of the two classes separated by a threshold $t$ and variances $\sigma^2_i$ of these classes. Using Otsu’s method, the solder region can be separated from the background with more accuracy than by using the optimal hard threshold.

Withayachumnankul et al. [6] designed a filter kernel to detect the edges of hairline crack defects on the surface of hard disk drive piezoelectric actuators. The kernel filter can detect lines at multiple angles with a complicated computation procedure. Al-Ghaili et al. [16-17] presented a vertical edge detection algorithm (VEDA) to detect license plate numbers. Their results showed that VEDA has a high accuracy and is about nine times faster than the Sobel operator. VEDA [16-17] was therefore adapted in this study. The VEDA focuses on pixel transitions from black to white and from white to black, by moving a 2x4 mask (shown in Fig. 9) from left to right and from right to left, as described in Algorithm 2.

**Algorithm 2: VEDA**

**Input:** Binary Image  
Create a white blank image as $\text{Image}(x,y)$;

**For** every pixel in the Binary Image  
$\text{center}=1; \text{left}=1; \text{right}=1$;  
If (all center mask values = black)  
$\text{center}=0$;  
End If  
If (all right mask values = black)  
$\text{right}=0$;  
End If  
If (all left mask values = black)  
$\text{left}=0$;  
End If  
If (!center AND !right AND !left)  
(Image(x,y)=white);  
Image(x,y+1)=black;  
End If  
End For

Through this process, the black-white and white-black regions are located. Using this 24 window mask, a one- and two-pixel thick edge is detected for each pad. VEDA is about nine times faster than Sobel [16-17]. The time-complexity of VEDA is O(NM) and the time-complexity of vertical Sobel is O(N\times M\times K), where N and M represent the iterations in the first and the second loop inside the Sobel and the VEDA codes and K is the number of iterations of the third loop, namely the size of vertical Sobel mask scale. The Prewitt edge detector time-complexity is equal to the time-complexity of Sobel because it use a vertical mask with the same size as Sobel. Next, the Roberts vertical edge detector has the same time-complexity of O(N\times M\times K), but K is smaller since the vertical edge mask is smaller in the Roberts method than in the Sobel and Prewitt methods. In conclusion, the time-complexity of VEDA is smaller than that of Roberts, Sobel, and Prewitt methods.

Fig. 10 shows example results of the reflection area detection and VEDA output images for both defective- and non-defective images. Images are rotated for presentation purposed. Fig. 10 (a) shows a non-defective exemplar and Fig. 10 (b) shows a defective exemplar.
In order to improve the decision process, the vertical reflection edge within the pad is removed by checking for black pixels. If a black pixel occurs within the area of reflection, that black pixel is set to white (background color). Namely,

\[ I(x, y) = \begin{cases} 
255 & \text{if } (x, y) \text{ is in the reflection area} \\
I(x, y) & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \]

(6)

In [7], an area-based feature was used to identify corrosion on the pole tip on the actuator component of HGAs. In this study, a similar area-based feature is used to identify burnt pads whose burnt area covers the entire pad from bottom to top, thereby having no vertical edges. The area of 168 non-defective pads and 34 burnt pads were collected. Maximum and minimum areas of non-defective pads were 70% and 44% of pad ROI, respectively. For defective samples, both small burnt pads and large burnt pads were collected. Maximum and minimum areas of burnt pads were 93% and 19% of pad ROI, respectively. Fig. 11 shows samples of non-defective pads (a) and burnt pads (b) annotated with the percentage pad area.

![Fig.11: Example pad areas (a) non-defective pads, and (b) burnt pads (two pads to the right).](image)

From the maximum area of non-defective pads a threshold \( T \) was obtained as shown in (7). If the pad area is greater than the threshold \( T \), the pad is defective. The threshold for a pad area is obtained using:

\[ T = \left( \frac{\text{Area of ROI}}{\text{Number of pads}} \right) \times \text{Max Pad Area} \]

(7)

Finally, the algorithm decides whether the HGA is defective. In the vertical edge image, the black pixels in the binary sub-image that correspond to the vertical edge of the burnt solder balls, and some small burn traces. To make a decision, a vertical edge value is generated by counting the black pixels of the pad image resulting from the previous step. If the vertical edge value is greater than a vertical edge threshold or the pad area is greater than the pad’s threshold, the test image shows a defective HGA; otherwise, the test image shows a non-defective HGA. Detection result of the purposed method is shown in Fig. 12. The results and output image of the proposed method are shown in Fig. 13, where Fig. 13 (a) and (b) refer to non-defective exemplars and Fig. 13 (c) and (d) refer to defective exemplars.

![Fig.12: Burnt solder ball detection with the proposed method.](image)

![Fig.13: Result of the Proposed Method, (a) and (b) non-defective image, (b)-(c) defective image.](image)

However, there are a few false detections caused by the large area and unusual shape caused by reflections (see Fig. 14 (a)) and some false detections are caused by small traces of burns of the last pad (see Fig. 14 (b)).

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

For the experiment 18,123 HGA images comprising 17,564 non-defective HGAs and 559 defective HGAs were acquired by a mechanical positioning tool. The mechanical positioning tool holds the camera in a fixed position and takes the pictures. Although the camera is in a fixed position resulting in the same size and resolution the mechanical positioning tool is unable to control the lighting condition. The 2400×2000 pixel RGB images used in this experiment had a resolution of 96 dpi. The HGA images were collected from production line during an extensive time period and from various HGA images.
False detections caused by (a) reflection, (b) small burn traces.

Pads were classified as burnt if at least one of its edges was uneven or its border had a black limb. Experiments were conducted to evaluate the performance of the proposed method compared with the Sobel-based, Prewitt-based and Roberts-based methods. The methods were implemented in Matlab and run on a Windows laptop with Core i5 CPUs 2.5 GHz, and 4 GB of RAM. The proposed method takes 0.71 seconds per image which is shorter than the Sobel-based method taking 0.97 seconds per image and the Prewitt-based method taking 0.92 seconds per image. The computation time of the proposed method is longer than the Roberts-based method (0.64 seconds per image). This is due to the nature of the implementation. Our results thus confirm that vertical VEDA is faster than vertical Sobel. The computation time of each step shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Computation time measured using “profile on/viewer” in MATLAB.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Total computation time (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposed method</td>
<td>0.32 0.22 0.05 0.05 0.02 0.05 0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sobel-based method</td>
<td>0.32 0.19 0.37 0.05 0.04 0.04 0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prewitt-based method</td>
<td>0.32 0.19 0.32 0.05 0.04 0.04 0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roberts-based method</td>
<td>0.32 0.19 0.04 0.05 0.04 0.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

False detection is a key issue in the HDD industry, and methods are therefore compared using false positive- and false negative rates. Comparisons are also made to the popular Prewitt and Roberts vertical edge detection algorithms. The proposed method yields the lowest false positive- and false negative rates of 0.7% and 0.2%, respectively. The Sobel-based method yields 20.4% false positive- and 5.4% false negative rates, The Prewitt-based method yields 21.6% false positive- and 30.6% false negative rates, while the Roberts-based method gives 34.6% false positives and 18.8% false negatives. The experimental results are listed in Table 2.

Table 2: Detection results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>True positive</th>
<th>False positive</th>
<th>Defective positive</th>
<th>False negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sobel-based method</td>
<td>13,073 79.6</td>
<td>11,493 65.4</td>
<td>14,422 99.3</td>
<td>548 99.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prewitt-based method</td>
<td>13,776 81.5</td>
<td>11,493 65.4</td>
<td>14,422 99.3</td>
<td>558 99.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roberts-based method</td>
<td>11,493 65.4</td>
<td>11,493 65.4</td>
<td>14,422 99.3</td>
<td>558 99.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our method</td>
<td>17,442 99.3</td>
<td>122 0.7</td>
<td>558 99.8</td>
<td>1 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Four performance evaluation measurements were used [22]; sensitivity, specificity, precision, and accuracy (see Table 3). The proposed method achieved 99.3% accuracy while the Sobel, Prewitt, and Roberts-based methods achieved 80.6%, 78.2% and 65.9%, respectively. The proposed method provided a high specificity and precision of 99.8% and 99.9%, while the Sobel-based method achieved a specificity of 94.6% and precision of 99.8%, the Prewitt-based method achieved a specificity of 69.4% and a precision of 99.8%, and the Roberts-based method achieved a specificity of 81.2% and precision of 99.1%. For the sensitivity, which is of prime concern in the HDD industry, the proposed vertical edge detection method achieved a sensitivity of 99.31% while the Sobel-based method achieved a sensitivity of 79.5%, the Prewitt-based method and the Roberts-based method achieved sensitivities of 78.4% and 65.4%, respectively.

Table 3: Performance Evaluation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Precision</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sobel-based method</td>
<td>79.6 94.6</td>
<td>99.8 99.8</td>
<td>80.6 78.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prewitt-based method</td>
<td>78.4 69.4</td>
<td>99.8 99.8</td>
<td>78.2 78.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roberts-based method</td>
<td>65.4 81.2</td>
<td>99.1 65.9</td>
<td>65.9 65.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our method</td>
<td>99.3 99.8</td>
<td>99.9 99.9</td>
<td>99.3 99.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. LIMITATIONS

Tests were performed using low resolution images, while a resolution may be higher in a production setting. The resolution of the HGA images should be sufficiently high to clearly see the burnt defect. Small burn defects might not be detected if the image is blurred. The proposed method might be applied to detect other types of solder ball defects, such bridging between two solder balls, incomplete solder balls on both sides of the slider and suspension, and missing solder balls on the pads.
6. CONCLUSION
A vertical edge detection method for the detection of defects in solder joints of HGA in case of solder balls or pads burning was proposed. The method adopt the circular Hough transform to detect reflections that occur during the image capturing process. Cases where the burning area covered the entire pad was detected using an area-based feature. The performance of the proposed method was compared with those of the Sobel, Prewitt and Roberts-based methods. Experimental results validated the effectiveness of the proposed method over the Sobel, Prewitt and Roberts-based methods in term of accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, precision and false detection rate.
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